arXiv:1702.08508v1 [math.AG] 27 Feb 2017

GEOMETRIC MANIN'S CONJECTURE AND RATIONAL CURVES

BRIAN LEHMANN AND SHO TANIMOTO

Abstract. Let X be a smooth projective Fano variety over the complex numbers. We bound the dimension and number of components of spaces of rational curves on X. We deduce an upper bound on the growth rate of the associated counting function as in Manin's Conjecture.

1. Introduction

A Fano variety over $\mathbb{C}$ will carry many rational curves due to the positivity of the anticanonical bundle ([Mor84], [KMM92], [Cam92]). The relationship between curvature and the existence of rational curves is quantified by Manin's Conjecture. For an ample divisor L on a Fano variety X, the constants $a(X, L)$ and $b(X, L)$ of [BM90] compare the positivity of K_X and L. Manin's Conjecture predicts that the asymptotic behavior of rational curves on X as the L-degree increases is controlled by these geometric constants.

We prove a Manin-type bound for rational curves on Fano varieties over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. There is an extensive literature devoted to understanding the dimension and number of components of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ for specific types of X. Our main theme is that such examples can be unified and extended using the the framework of Manin's Conjecture, allowing one to use techniques from the minimal model program.

1.1. **Moduli of rational curves.** Let X be a smooth projective uniruled variety and let $\overline{\mathrm{Eff}}^1(X)$ denote the pseudo-effective cone of divisors. Suppose L is a nef $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor on X. When L is big, define the Fujita invariant (which we will also call the a constant) by

$$a(X, L) := \min\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid t[L] + [K_X] \in \overline{\mathrm{Eff}}^1(X)\}.$$

When L is not big, we formally set $a(X, L) = \infty$. When X is singular, we define the a constant by pulling L back to a resolution of X.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a smooth projective weak Fano variety and set $L = -K_X$. Let $V \subsetneq X$ be the proper closed subset which is the Zariski closure of all subvarieties Y such that $a(Y, L|_Y) > a(X, L)$. Then any component of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ parametrizing a curve not contained in V will have the expected dimension and will parametrize a dominant family of curves.*

Assuming standard conjectures about rational curves, the converse implication is also true: a subvariety with higher a-value will contain families of rational curves with dimension higher than the expected dimension in X. In this way the a-invariant should completely control the expected dimension of components of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$. Furthermore, an analogous statement holds for any uniruled X and any big and nef L, provided we restrict our attention to curves with vanishing intersection against $K_X + a(X, L)L$.

Date: March 1, 2017.

Theorem 1.1 is significant for two reasons. The first is that V is a proper subset of X; this is the main theorem of [HJ16]. The second is that Theorem 1.1 gives an explicit description of the closed set V. In practice, one can use techniques from adjunction theory or the minimal model program to calculate V.

Example 1.2. [CS09] proves that if X is a smooth cubic hypersurface of dimension ≥ 3, then every component of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ has the expected dimension. In Example 4.8 we give a quick proof of this result, and we prove an analogous result for quartic hypersurfaces in Example 4.9. Note that for a quartic hypersurface the components of the Kontsevich moduli space of stable maps need not have the expected dimension (see [CS09]).

Example 1.3. Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with index 2 and Picard rank 1. By [LTT16, Proposition 6.5, 6.8, and 6.11], every component of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ has the expected dimension and parametrizes a dominant family of curves.

Remark 1.4. Theorem 1.1 supports Batyrev's heuristic for the function field version of Manin's Conjecture.

The main outstanding question concerning $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ is the number of components. We conjecture that the number of components grows polynomially with the degree of the curve, and in fact, is controlled by the b-invariant in a precise way (see Section 5). We can prove such results for components satisfying an additional hypothesis: they contain a chain of free curves whose components have bounded degree.

Theorem 1.5. *Let X be a smooth projective uniruled variety and let L be a big and nef $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor on X. Fix a positive integer q and let $\overline{M} \subset \overline{M}_{0,0}(X)$ denote the union of all components which contain a chain of free curves whose components have L-degree at most q. There is a polynomial $P(d)$ which is an upper bound for the number of components of $\overline{M}$ of L-degree at most d.*

Theorem 1.5 should be contrasted with bounds on the number of components of the Chow variety which are exponential in d ([Man95], [Kol96], [Gue99], [Hwa05]).

It is natural to wonder whether free curves of sufficiently high degree can always be deformed (as a stable map) to a chain of free curves of smaller degree. Although this property seems subtle to verify, we are not aware of any Fano variety for which it fails. We are able to verify it in some new situations for Fano varieties of small dimension. By applying general theory, we can then understand the behavior of rational curves by combining an analysis of the a and b constants with a few computations in low degree.

Example 1.6. Let X be a smooth Fano threefold of index 2 with $\mathrm{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}H$. We show that if $H^3 \geq 3$, or $H^2 = 2$ and X is general in its moduli, then $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ has two components of any anticanonical degree $2d \geq 4$: the family of d-fold covers of lines, and a family of irreducible degree $2d$ curves. This exactly matches the framework set out in Section 5 based on an analysis of dominant generically finite maps $f : Y \to X$ satisfying $a(Y, -f^*K_X) = a(X, -K_X)$.

Previously such results were known for cubic threefolds by work of Starr (see [CS09, Theorem 1.2]) and for complete intersections of two quadrics by [Cas04].

1.2. **Manin-type bound.** Using the previous results, we prove an upper bound of Manin-type on moduli of rational curves. Fix a variable q, and for open $U \subset X$ define the counting

function

$$N_*(U, L, q, d) = \sum_W q^{\dim W}$$

as W ranges over all components of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ parametrizing curves of L-degree d and intersecting U. (This definition mimics the more familiar construction of counting functions over a finite field. It should be viewed as a provisional definition; we give a different version in Section 6.)

Theorem 1.7. *Let X be a smooth projective Fano variety. Let U be a Zariski open subset of X not intersecting any subvariety Y with $a(Y, -K_X) > a(X, -K_X)$. Fix $\epsilon > 0$; then for sufficiently large q*

$$N_*(U, -K_X, q, d) = O\left(q^{d(1+\epsilon)}\right).$$

We also give more precise versions when we have additional information about the number of components of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$. For example, when X is a toric variety the behavior of very free rational curves is determined by [Bou16], and our functions are closely related to the motivic formulas of [Bou09].

Example 1.8. Let X be a smooth del Pezzo surface of degree ≥ 2 which admits a (-1)-curve. Let U denote the complement of the (-1) curves on X. Using [Tes09], Example 6.7 shows that

$$N_*(U, -K_X, q, d) \sim \frac{q^2 \alpha(X, L)}{1 - q^{-1}} q^d d^{\rho(X)-1}.$$

where $\alpha(X, L)$ is the volume of a polytope defined in Definition 6.1. According to [LT16, Theorem 6.2], this result exactly coheres with the expected behavior arising from an analysis of the constants in Manin's Conjecture.

To obtain the open set U in Theorem 1.7 we remove "accumulating subvarieties" where the number of rational curves grows more quickly than expected. It is well-known in the number theoretic setting that to obtain an optimal version of Manin's Conjecture, it is not sufficient to remove a closed set – rather, one must remove a thin set of points. This is true in our geometric setting as well, and we give a precise formulation of how to "discount" components of rational curves in Section 6.

Remark 1.9. The framework of Manin's Conjecture provides a bridge between birational geometry and number theory. We conjecture precise relationships between moduli of rational curves and the a and b constants. In the number theory setting, we expect similar relationships for rational points (see [LT16]). In this sense, the theory raises the possibility of transporting theorems directly between the two settings as predicted by Ellenberg-Venkatesh. [Pug11] and [BV16] accomplish a first step in this direction, and Bourqui has some related work for toric varieties.

Acknowledgments. We thank Morten Risager for his help regarding height zeta functions and Chen Jiang for suggesting the application of [Ḧ12]. Lehmann is supported by NSF grant 1600875. Tanimoto is supported by Lars Hesselholt's Niels Bohr professorship.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout we work over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Varieties are irreducible and reduced.

For X a smooth projective variety we let $N^1(X)$ denote the space of $\mathbb{R}$-divisors up to numerical equivalence. It contains a lattice $N^1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ consisting of classes of Cartier divisors. We let $\overline{\mathrm{Eff}}^1(X)$ and $\mathrm{Nef}^1(X)$ denote the pseudo-effective and nef cones of divisors respectively; their intersections with $N^1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ are denoted $\overline{\mathrm{Eff}}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\mathrm{Nef}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Dually, $N_1(X)$ denotes the space of curves up to numerical equivalence with natural lattice $N_1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$. $\overline{\mathrm{Eff}}_1(X)$ and $\mathrm{Nef}_1(X)$ denote the pseudo-effective and nef cones of curves, containing lattice points $\overline{\mathrm{Eff}}_1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\mathrm{Nef}_1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Suppose that $f, g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ are two positive real valued functions. We use the symbol $f(d) \sim g(d)$ to denote "asymptotically equal":

$$\lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{f(d)}{g(d)} = 1$$

We will also use the standard "big-O" notation when we do not care about constant factors.

2.1. **Adjunction results.** We will use the following results which have the flavor of classical adjunction theory. Since we are not aware of any references, we will include a quick proof.

Lemma 2.1. *Let Y be a smooth projective variety of dimension r and let H be a big and nef divisor on Y. Suppose that $a(Y, H) > r$. Then $H^r = 1$.*

Proof. Consider the Iitaka dimension $\kappa(K_Y + a(Y, H)H)$. If this is greater than 0, then the a-value of the fibers is the same as the a-value of Y, a contradiction to [LTT16, Proposition 2.10]. Thus $\kappa(K_Y + a(Y, H)H) = 0$. By [LTT16, Proposition 2.5], Y is rationally connected, and in particular $\chi(\mathcal{O}(K_Y)) = (-1)^r$.

Now consider the polynomial $P(j) := \chi(\mathcal{O}_Y(K_Y + jH))$. Note that for $i > 0$ and $j > 0$ we have $H^i(K_Y + jH) = 0$, so that the Euler characteristic agrees with the dimension of the space of sections. By assumption $P(j) = 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, r$. Since also $P(0) = (-1)^r$, this determines

$$P(j) = \begin{pmatrix} j-1 \\ r \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Riemann-Roch we see that $H^r = 1$. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let Y be a smooth projective variety of dimension $r \geq 2$ and let H be a big and basepoint free divisor on Y. Suppose that $a(Y, H) > r - 1$. Then $H^r \leq 4$.*

Furthermore, if $a(Y, H) > r-1$ and $H^r = 4$ then a surface S defined by a general complete intersection of elements of H admits a birational morphism to $\mathbb{P}^2$ and $H|_S$ is the pullback of $\mathcal{O}(2)$.

Proof. By [HÏ2, Theorem 1.2], $K_Y + (r-1)H$ is pseudo-effective if and only if $H^0(Y, K_Y + (r-1)H) > 0$. So it suffices to show that if $K_Y + (r-1)H$ has no sections then $H^r \leq 4$.

By cutting down by general elements of $|H|$ and using the LES of cohomology, we obtain a surface S which satisfies $H^0(S, K_Y + H|_S) = 0$. Again applying [HÏ2, Theorem 1.2], we see that $a(S, H) > 1$. Run the $K_S + a(S, H)H$-MMP to obtain a smooth weak Fano surface S'. Let H' be the pushforward of H; since $a(S', H') = a(S, H) > 1$, $K_{S'}$ can not have index 1. So S' is $\mathbb{P}^2$ or $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and one quickly sees that $4 \geq H'^2 \geq H^2$.

Finally, note that if we are in the surface case and $H^2 = 4$, the only possibility is that H is the pullback of $\mathcal{O}(2)$ from $\mathbb{P}^2$ under a birational map. Since the proof for general Y involves cutting down by elements of $|H|$, we have proved the last statement. □

3. Geometric invariants a, b

3.1. **Background.** We recall the definitions of the a and b constants studied in [HTT15], [LTT16], [HJ16], [LT16]. These invariants also play a central role in the study of cylinders, see, e.g., [CPW16].

Definition 3.1. [HTT15, Definition 2.2] Let X be a smooth projective variety and let L be a big divisor on X. The *Fujita invariant* is

$$a(X, L) := \min\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid t[L] + [K_X] \in \overline{\mathrm{Eff}}^1(X)\}.$$

If L is not big, we set $a(X, L) = \infty$.

By [HTT15, Proposition 7], $a(X, L)$ does not change when pulling back L by a birational map. Hence, we define the Fujita invariant for a singular projective variety X by pulling back to a smooth resolution $\beta : \tilde{X} \to X$:

$$a(X, L) := a(\tilde{X}, \beta^* L).$$

This definition does not depend on the choice of β. It follows from [BDPP13] that $a(X, L)$ is positive if and only if X is uniruled.

Definition 3.2. [HTT15, Definition 2.8] Let X be a smooth projective variety such that K_X is not pseudo effective. Let L be a big divisor on X. We define $b(X, L)$ to be

$$\text{the codimension of the minimal supported face of } \overline{\mathrm{Eff}}^1(X) \text{ containing the class } a(X, L)[L] + [K_X].$$

Again, this is a birational invariant ([HTT15, Proposition 9]), and we define $b(X, L)$ for a singular variety X by taking a smooth resolution $\beta : \tilde{X} \to X$ and setting

$$b(X, L) := b(\tilde{X}, \beta^* L).$$

This definition does not depend on the choice of β. It turns out b has a natural geometric interpretation in terms of Picard ranks (see [LTT16, Corollary 3.9 and Lemma 3.10]).

3.2. **Compatibility statements.** Let X be a smooth projective variety and let L be a big and nef $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X. Suppose that $f : Y \to X$ is a morphism which is generically finite onto its image and admits no rational section. (Usually f will be the inclusion of a subvariety or a dominant map of degree ≥ 2.) It will be crucial for us to understand when

$$(a(Y, f^*L), b(Y, f^*L)) > (a(X, L), b(X, L))$$

in the lexicographic order. We say that f *breaks the weakly balanced condition* when such an inequality holds.

We expect some kind of finiteness statement for morphisms breaking the weakly balanced condition. More precisely:

Conjecture 3.3. Let X be a smooth uniruled projective variety and let L be a big and nef $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X. There is a finite collection of non-birational morphisms $f_i : Z_i \to X$ such that any morphism $f : Y \to X$ breaking the weakly balanced condition factors rationally through one of the f_i.

[HJ16] solved the case of higher a-values using the recent boundedness statements of Birkar and Di Cerbo.

Theorem 3.4 ([LTT16] Theorem 4.8 and [HJ16] Theorem 1.1)**.** *Let X be a smooth uniruled projective variety and let L be a big and nef $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X. Then there is a proper closed subset $V \subsetneq X$ such that any subvariety Y with $a(Y, L|_Y) > a(X, L)$ is contained in V.*

Proof. [LTT16, Theorem 4.8] proved this statement conditional on a version of the Borisov-Alexeev-Borisov Conjecture. [HJ16, Theorem 1.1] noticed that when L is big and semiample one can apply the weaker boundedness statements of [Bir16a] and [DC16]. Since [Bir16b] has settled the Borisov-Alexeev-Borisov Conjecture, the semiampleness restriction is no longer necessary. □

[LT16] extended this to allow inequality of b-values of subvarieties and made additional partial progress on other cases of the conjecture.

3.3. **Face contraction.** We introduce the following definition which encodes a slightly more refined version of the a and b invariants.

Definition 3.5. Let X be a smooth uniruled projective variety and let L be a big and nef $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X. We say that a morphism $f : Y \to X$ is *face contracting* if the following conditions hold. First, we require that f is dominant and generically finite from a smooth projective variety Y and $a(Y, f^*L) = a(X, L)$. Let F_Y be the face of $\mathrm{Nef}_1(Y)$ consisting of those curve classes α with $(K_Y + a(Y, L)f^*L) \cdot \alpha = 0$. Second, we require that the pushforward map $f_* : F_Y \to \mathrm{Nef}_1(X)$ is not injective.

By the ramification formula $K_Y + a(Y, L)f^*L \geq f^*(K_X + a(X, L)L)$. Thus, the pushforward map f_* maps F_Y to the face F_X of $\mathrm{Nef}_1(X)$ consisting of classes with vanishing intersection against $K_X + a(X, L)L$. The dimension of the two faces F_Y, F_X is respectively $b(Y, f^*L), b(X, L)$. Thus, if f breaks the weakly balanced condition then it is also automatically face contracting. However, F_Y need not surject onto F_X and so not all face contracting morphisms break the weakly balanced condition.

Example 3.6. [MZ88] identifies a del Pezzo surface X' with canonical singularities which admits a finite cover $f' : Y' \to X'$ which is étale in codimension 1 and such that $\rho(X') = 1$ and $\rho(Y') = 2$. Let $f : Y \to X$ be a resolution of this map and set $L = -K_X$. [LT16, Theorem 6.1] shows that f does not break the weakly balanced condition. Nevertheless, we claim that the pushforward $f_* : F_Y \to \mathrm{Nef}_1(X)$ contracts F_Y to a face F of smaller dimension.

Let F_Y denote the face of $\mathrm{Nef}_1(Y)$ perpendicular to $K_Y - f^*K_X$. It suffices to find two different classes in F_Y whose images under f_* are the same. Since $f'_* : N_1(Y') \to N_1(X')$ drops the Picard rank by 1, there are ample curve classes β and β' on Y' whose images under f'_* are the same. Let α and α' be their pullbacks in $N_1(Y)$; we show that $f_*\alpha = f_*\alpha'$.

Note that every curve exceptional for the birational morphism $X \to X'$ pulls back under f to a union of curves exceptional for the morphism $Y \to Y'$. Applying the projection formula

to f, we deduce that $f_*\alpha$ and $f_*\alpha'$ have vanishing intersection against every exceptional curve for $X \to X'$. Since $f_*\alpha$ and $f_*\alpha'$ also push forward to the same class on X' by construction, we conclude that $f_*\alpha = f_*\alpha'$.

Face contracting morphisms are important for understanding the leading constant in Manin's Conjecture. Fix a number field K, and suppose that $f : Y \to X$ is a dominant morphism over K with equal a, b-values. Manin's conjecture predicts that the growth rate of rational points of bounded height is the same on X and Y. To obtain the correct Peyre's constant for the rate of growth of rational points, it is subtle whether one should allow contributions of points from Y.

It is shown in [LT16, Proposition 8.2] that when f is not Galois, the images of rational points under f and its twists form a thin set so we should remove the contribution from such a f. Suppose $f : Y \to X$ is Galois and $a(X, L)f^*L + K_Y$ has Iitaka dimension 0. After replacing Y by a birational modification, we may assume that any birational transformation of Y over X is regular. According to [LT16, Proposition 8.4], whether f and its twists (with higher or equal a, b values) give the entire set of rational points depends on whether the ramification divisor R contains a component R' which is not exceptional and not contained in the pullback of the adjoint divisor $a(X, L)L + K_X \equiv E \geq 0$. It turns out that this condition is equivalent to being face contracting, so face contraction gives us a geometric criterion to distinguish whether we should include contributions from Y to the counting function in order to obtain Peyre's constant.

Example 3.7. [LT16, Section 8.3] gives two examples of finite covers $f : Y \to X$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ where the a, b-values are the same. In the first one must remove $f(Y(\mathbb{Q}))$ to ensure that rational points on X grow according to Peyre's constant, but in the second one must allow contributions from Y. It turns out that the first morphism f is face contracting while the second is not.

4. Expected dimension of rational curves

We let $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ denote the quasi-projective variety parametrizing maps from $\mathbb{P}^1$ to X as constructed by [Gro95].

Definition 4.1. Let X be a smooth projective variety and let $\alpha \in \overline{\mathrm{Eff}}_1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$. We let $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \alpha)$ denote the set of components of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ parametrizing curves of class α.

Given an open subset $U \subset X$, $\mathrm{Mor}_U(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \alpha)$ denotes the sublocus of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \alpha)$ which parametrizes curves meeting U.

Let W be an irreducible component of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \alpha)$. The "expected dimension" of W is $-K_X \cdot \alpha + \dim X$. It turns out that we always have an inequality

$$\dim W \geq -K_X \cdot \alpha + \dim X$$

and when W parametrizes a dominant family of curves then equality is guaranteed ([Kol96]).

If $f : Y \to X$ denotes a birational map from a smooth variety Y, then any component of W which parametrizes a dominant family of curves naturally defines an inclusion of an open subset $W^\circ \subset \mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, Y)$ via strict transform. In fact, W° is dense in a component $M \subset \mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, Y)$. Indeed, the general curve in M intersects the locus where f is an isomorphism, and since W is an entire component it must agree with the pushforward of M over an open subset. (Note that this same argument works even when X is singular.)

Proposition 4.2. *Let X be a smooth projective uniruled variety and let L be a big and nef $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X. Let W be an irreducible component of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \alpha)$ satisfying $(K_X + a(X,L)L) \cdot \alpha = 0$, and let $\pi : \mathcal{C} \to W$ be the corresponding family of irreducible rational curves with the evaluation map $s : \mathcal{C} \to X$. Set $Z = \overline{s(\mathcal{C})}$.*

(1) *Suppose that the dimension of W is greater than the expected dimension, i.e.,*

$$\dim W > -K_X \cdot \alpha + \dim X.$$

Then $a(Z, L|_Z) > a(X, L)$.

(2) *Suppose that $Z \neq X$. Then $a(Z, L|_Z) > a(X, L)$.*

It is well-known that a dominant family of rational curves has the expected dimension in characteristic 0. However this is not true in characteristic p, so the first statement may be preferable conceptually.

Proof. If $Z \subset \mathbf{B}_+(L)$ then $a(Z, L|_Z) = \infty$. Otherwise, let $f : Y \to Z$ be a resolution of singularities. As discussed earlier, via strict transforms we obtain $\mathcal{C}^\circ \to W^\circ$ with the evaluation map $s : \mathcal{C}^\circ \to Y$. Let C denote an irreducible curve parametrized by W°. Since W° is contained in an irreducible component of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, Y)$ parametrizing curves which dominate Y, we have

$$\dim(W^\circ) \leq -K_Y \cdot C + \dim Y.$$

The dimension of W is always at least the expected dimension, so $-K_X \cdot f_*C + \dim X \leq -K_Y \cdot C + \dim Y$. By assumption either this inequality is strict or $\dim Y < \dim X$, and in either case

$$(K_Y - f^*K_X) \cdot C < 0.$$

Since $(K_X + a(X,L)L)|_Z \cdot f_*C = 0$, we can equally well write $(K_Y + a(X,L)L|_Y) \cdot C < 0$. Since C deforms to cover Y, $K_Y + a(X,L)f^*L$ is not pseudo effective. This implies that $a(Y,L) > a(X,L)$. □

Example 4.3. There is of course no analogous statement away from the face of curve classes vanishing against $K_X + a(X,L)L$. Consider for example a K3 surface S containing infinitely many -2 curves and let $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times S$. For any big and nef $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor L, the divisor $K_X + a(X,L)L$ will be the pullback of a divisor on S. Let C be a (-2)-curve in some fiber over $\mathbb{P}^1$. Then the component of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ corresponding to C has dimension $4 > -K_X \cdot C + 3$. Note however that C has positive intersection against $K_X + a(X,L)L$ for any big and nef divisor L.

It would be quite interesting to study the relationship between expected dimensions and the set of all adjoint pairs $K_X + a(X,L)L$ as L varies in the big and nef cone.

Theorem 4.4. *Let X be a smooth projective uniruled variety and let L be a big and nef $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. Let U be the Zariski open subset which is the complement of the closure of all subvarieties $Y \subset X$ satisfying $a(Y, L|_Y) > a(X, L)$. Suppose that $\alpha \in \mathrm{Nef}_1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfies $(K_X + a(X,L)L) \cdot \alpha = 0$. If $\mathrm{Mor}_U(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \alpha)$ is non-empty, then*

$$\dim \mathrm{Mor}_U(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \alpha) = -K_X \cdot \alpha + \dim X$$

and every component of $\dim \mathrm{Mor}_U(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \alpha)$ parametrizes a dominant family of rational curves.

Proof. There is a closed proper subset $V \subset X$ such that for every $Z \not\subset V$, $a(Z, L) \leq a(X, L)$. Then apply Proposition 4.2. □

Remark 4.5. Our proof actually shows that for any $\alpha \in \overline{\mathrm{Eff}}_1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \mathrm{Nef}_1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$, the space $\mathrm{Mor}_U(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \alpha)$ is empty.

The most compelling special case is:

Theorem 4.6. *Let X be a smooth projective weak Fano variety. Let U be the Zariski open subset which is the complement of the closure of all subvarieties $Y \subset X$ satisfying $a(Y, -K_X|_Y) > a(X, -K_X)$. Then for any $\alpha \in \mathrm{Nef}_1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ we have*

$$\dim \mathrm{Mor}_U(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \alpha) = -K_X \cdot \alpha + \dim X$$

if it is not empty, and every component of $\dim \mathrm{Mor}_U(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \alpha)$ parametrizes a dominant family of rational curves.

Example 4.7. If X is not Fano, it is possible that non-dominant families of rational curves sweep out a countable collection of proper subvarieties, as in the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ at nine very general points.

Theorem 4.4 gives a new set of tools for understanding families of rational curves via adjunction theory. Hypersurfaces are perhaps the most well-known source of examples of families of rational curves: we have an essentially complete description of the behavior of rational curves for general Fano hypersurfaces ([HRS04], [BK13], [RY16]). We briefly illustrate our theory by discussing results which hold for *all* smooth hypersurfaces of a given degree.

Example 4.8 ([CS09])**.** Let X be a smooth cubic hypersurface of dimension $n \geq 3$. Let H denote the hyperplane class on X, so that $K_X = -(n-1)H$ and $a(X, H) = n - 1$. We show that X does not contain any subvariety with higher a-value, so that every family of rational curves has the expected dimension. This recovers a result of [CS09].

Let Y be the resolution of a subvariety of X. If Y has codimension ≥ 2, then it follows from [LTT16, Proposition 2.10] that $a(Y, H) \leq n - 1$. If Y has codimension 1, Lemma 2.1 shows that $a(Y, H) \leq n - 1$ unless the H-degree of Y is 1. But a smooth cubic hypersurface of dimension ≥ 3 can not contain any codimension 1 linear spaces, showing the claim.

To our knowledge the following example has not been worked out explicitly in the literature.

Example 4.9. Let X be a smooth quartic hypersurface of dimension $n \geq 5$. Let H denote the hyperplane class on X, so that $a(X, H) = n - 2$. We prove that X does not contain any subvariety with higher a-value, so that every family of rational curves has the expected dimension. Suppose that $Y \subset X$ is a subvariety of codimension ≥ 3. Just as in Example 4.8, we can immediately deduce that $a(Y, H) \leq a(X, H)$.

Next suppose that $Y \subset X$ has codimension 2. Applying Lemma 2.1, we see that $a(Y, H) \leq a(X, H)$ unless possibly if H is a linear codimension 2 space. But this is impossible in our dimension range.

Finally, suppose there were a divisor $Y \subset X$ satisfying $a(Y, H) > a(X, H)$. Applying Lemma 2.2, we see that $H|_Y^{r-1} \leq 4$. By the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem, the only possibility is that Y is the intersection of X with a hyperplane and $H|_Y^{r-1} = 4$. Let $\widetilde{Y}$ denote a resolution

of Y and let S be a surface which is a general complete intersection of members of H on $\widetilde{Y}$. Again applying Lemma 2.2, we see that the morphism defined by a sufficiently high multiple of $H|_S$ should define a map to $\mathbb{P}^2$. In our situation it defines a map to a (possibly singular) reduced irreducible quartic surface, a contradiction. (Note that this singular quartic must be normal because of [LTT16, Lemma 6.14].) Thus $a(Y,H) \leq a(X,H)$ in every case.

5. Number of components

To prove Manin's Conjecture one must bound the number of components of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$. In this section, we give a conjectural framework for understanding the number of components and prove these conjectures under certain assumptions.

Note that by Theorem 4.4, any class α on a Fano variety X satisfying $\alpha \in \overline{\mathrm{Eff}}_1(X) \backslash \mathrm{Nef}_1(X)$ can only be represented by families of curves which factor through a subvariety Y breaking the weakly balanced condition. In the setting of Manin's Conjecture one should ignore all such components. So in this section, we will only consider dominant families of curves, and these are necessarily represented by $\alpha \in \mathrm{Nef}_1(X)$.

5.1. **Conjectural framework.**

Conjecture 5.1. Let X be a smooth projective uniruled variety and let L be a big and nef $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X. For a numerical class $\alpha \in \mathrm{Nef}_1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$, let $h(\alpha)$ denote the number of dominant components of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \alpha)$. There is a polynomial $P(d) \in \mathbb{Z}[d]$ such that $h(\alpha) \leq P(L \cdot \alpha)$ for all α.

Polynomial growth of the number of components should arise from dominant maps $f : Y \to X$ which are face contracting. In this case there will be many nef curve classes on Y which are identified under pushforward to X, yielding many different components of the space of morphisms.

Example 5.2. We use an example considered by [Rud14] in the number theoretic setting. Set $S = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and let $X = \mathrm{Hilb}^2(S)$, a weak Fano variety of dimension 4. Let Y denote the blow up of $S \times S$ along the diagonal and let $f : Y \to X$ denote the natural $2:1$ map. Note that f breaks the weakly balanced condition for $-K_X$: we have

$$(a(X, -K_X), b(X, -K_X)) = (1,3) < (1,4) = (a(Y, -f^*K_X), b(Y, -f^*K_X))$$

As discussed in Section 3, f naturally defines a contraction of faces $F_Y \to F_X$ of the nef cone of curves. Here F_Y consists of curves which have vanishing intersection against the blow-up E of the diagonal. This face has dimension 4, since it contains the classes of strict transforms of curves on $S \times S$ which do not intersect the diagonal. Its image F_X is the cone spanned by the classes of the curves $F_1(1,2)$ and $F_2(1,2)$. (Here $F_1(1,2)$ denotes the curve parametrizing length two subschemes of S where one point is fixed and the other varies in a fiber of the first projection. $F_2(1,2)$ is defined analogously for the second projection.) Note that f_* decreases the dimension of F_Y by 2.

It is easy to see that a dominant component of rational curves on Y with class $\beta \in F_Y$ is the strict transform of a dominant component of rational curves on $S \times S$. Since $S \times S$ is toric, there is exactly one irreducible component of each class β. Suppose that β is the strict transform of a degree (a,b,c,d) curve class on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{\times 4}$. The pushforward identifies all classes with $a + c = m$ and $b + d = n$ to the class $mF_1(1,2) + nF_2(1,2)$. The pushforward of any component of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, Y)$ with a class in F_Y yields (a dense subset of) a component

of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ since the expected dimensions coincide. Furthermore, a component of class $mF_1(1,2) + nF_2(1,2)$ will be mapped to by exactly two different components (given by $(a, b, m-a, n-d)$ and $(m-a, n-d, a, b)$) except when m and n are both even and $a = c$ and $b = d$, in which case there is only one component. Thus there are at least $\lceil \frac{1}{2}(m+1)(n+1) \rceil$ different components of rational curves of class $mF_1(1,2) + nF_2(1,2)$. Since any rational curve on X avoiding E is the pushforward of a rational curve on Y, this is in fact the exact number.

In the previous example the growth in components was caused by the existence of a dominant map $f : Y \to X$ breaking the weakly balanced condition. But even when there is no such map we can still have growth of components due to the existence of face contracting maps.

Example 5.3. Let X be the smooth weak del Pezzo surface in Example 3.6; we retain the notation from this example. Suppose we can find families of free rational curves representing two linearly independent classes in F_Y. Then using a gluing argument, we can deduce that the number of components of free rational curves for classes in F grows at least linearly as the degree increases.

For each generator of F_Y we can run an MMP to obtain a Mori fibration $\pi : \tilde{Y} \to Z$ on a birational model of Y which contracts this ray. If $\dim(Z) = 1$, then a general fiber will be in the smooth locus of $\tilde{Y}$ and its pullback will represent a generator of F. If $\dim(Z) = 0$, we can apply [KM99, 1.3 Theorem] to find a rational curve in the smooth locus of $\tilde{Y}$ whose pullback represents a generator of F.

As in the previous examples, one can expect the degree of the polynomial $P(d)$ in Conjecture 5.1 to be controlled by the relative dimension of contracted faces.

Conjecture 5.4. Let X be a smooth projective weak Fano variety. For a numerical class $\alpha \in \mathrm{Nef}_1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$, let $h(\alpha)$ denote the number of dominant components of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \alpha)$. Then $h(m\alpha)$, considered as a function of m, is bounded above by a polynomial $P(m)$ whose degree is the largest relative dimension of a map $f_* : F_Y \to F$ where f is a dominant face contracting morphism $f : Y \to X$, F_Y is a face of the nef cone of curves on Y perpendicular to $K_Y - f^*K_X$, and its image F satisfies $\alpha \in F$.

In particular, we expect that the only way for the number of components to increase without bound is contributions from a face contracting morphism.

Conjecture 5.5. Let X be a smooth projective uniruled variety and let L be a big and nef $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. For a numerical class $\alpha \in \mathrm{Nef}_1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$, let $g(\alpha)$ denote the number of dominant components of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, \alpha)$ such that the family map $s : \mathcal{C} \to X$ does not rationally factor through a face contracting morphism $f : Y \to X$. Then there is a constant M such that $g(\alpha) = M$ for all α of sufficiently high L-degree which lie in the interior of the face perpendicular to $K_X + a(X, L)L$.

To finish this subsection, we prove a result illustrating the link between geometry and the existence of a factorization through a dominant map $f : Y \to X$ which breaks the weakly balanced condition.

Proposition 5.6. *Let X be a smooth projective weak Fano variety. Suppose that W is a component of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ parametrizing a dominant family of rational curves $\pi : \mathcal{C} \to W$*

with evaluation map $s : \mathcal{C} \to X$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$ be a projective compactification of $\mathcal{C}$ with a morphism $s' : \widetilde{\mathcal{C}} \to X$ extending the evaluation map. Consider the Stein factorization of s', $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}} \to Y \to X$. Then $a(Y, L) = a(X, L)$.

Proof. Let $\widetilde{Y}$ be a resolution of Y. By taking the strict transform of the family of rational curves, one obtains a dominant family on $\widetilde{Y}$ which is parametrized by a component of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, \widetilde{Y})$. Since the dimension of this component is the same on $\widetilde{Y}$ and on X, and equals to the expected dimension in both cases, we have

$$K_X \cdot C = K_Y \cdot C \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad (K_Y - K_X) \cdot C = 0.$$

Thus the divisor $K_{\widetilde{Y}} + a(X, -K_X)(-K_X)$ is pseudo-effective but not big. □

Corollary 5.7. *Suppose that X is a smooth projective weak Fano variety such that no dominant generically finite morphism $f : Y \to X$ of degree ≥ 2 satisfies $a(Y, -f^*K_X) = a(X, -K_X)$. Then for every component of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ parametrizing a dominant family of rational curves, the evaluation morphism has connected fibers.*

Remark 5.8. Proposition 5.6 has interesting ties with [Kol15, Proposition 4]: together they show that the families of rational curves counted by Manin's Conjecture will almost always satisfy the weak Lefschetz property.

5.2. **Breaking chains of free curves.** In this section we prove some structure theorems for chains of free curves. We will pass from working with the spaces $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ to the Kontsevich spaces of stable maps $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(X, \beta)$. Note that this change in setting drops the expected dimension of spaces of rational curves by 3. We will assume familiarity with these spaces as in [BM96], [HRS04]. In fact, we will work exclusively with the projective coarse moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta)$.

Let X be a smooth projective uniruled variety and let L be a big and nef $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X. By a component of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X)$, we will mean more precisely the reduced variety underlying some component of this projective scheme. For each component $M \subset \overline{M}_{0,0}(X)$ which generically parametrizes free curves, we denote by M' the unique component of $\overline{M}_{0,1}(X)$ parametrizing a point on a curve from M, and by M'' the analogous component of $\overline{M}_{0,2}(X)$.

Definition 5.9. A chain of free curves on X of length r is a stable map $f : C \to X$ such that C is a chain of rational curves with r components and the restriction of f to any component C_i realizes C_i as a free curve on X.

We can parametrize chains of free curves (coming from components $M_1, \ldots, M_r$) by the product

$$M_1' \times_X M_2'' \times_X \ldots \times_X M_{r-1}'' \times_X M_r'.$$

In fact, such curves are parametrized by the "main" components which dominate X under each projection map. Loosely speaking our goal is to count such main components. Note that any component of $M_1' \times_X \ldots \times_X M_r'$ which generically parametrizes chains of free curves will have the expected dimension $-K_X \cdot C + \dim(X) - 2 - r$. A chain of free curves is automatically a smooth point of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X)$.

For a component M_i which generically parametrizes free curves, we let U_i denote the sublocus of free curves. Analogously, we define U_i' and U_i'' for the one or two pointed versions.

Lemma 5.10. *Consider an open component of chains of free curves with a marked point on each end, that is,*

$$N \subset U_1'' \times_X U_2'' \times_X \ldots \times_X U_r''.$$

Then each projection map $N \to U_j''$ is dominant and flat. Furthermore, the map $N \to X$ induced by the last marked point is dominant and flat.

Proof. The proof is by induction on the length of the chain. In the base case of one component, the first statement is obvious. For the second, note that $U''' \subset \overline{M}_{0,3}(X)$ can be identified with an open set in $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$. By [Kol96, Corollary 3.5.4] the evaluation map for the second marked point is flat. This factors through the natural map $U''' \to U''$; since the forgetful map is faithfully flat, we see that the second statement also holds.

We next prove the induction step. The projection from N onto the first $n-1$ factors maps N to a component Q of $U_1'' \times_X \ldots \times_X U_{r-1}''$. By induction, Q has the two desired properties. Also, since the space of free curves through a fixed point has the expected dimension, the map $U_r'' \to X$ induced by the first marked point is dominant and flat. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q \times_X U_r'' & \longrightarrow & U_r'' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Q & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

Both projections from $Q \times_X U_r''$ have equidimensional fibers by base change. Furthermore, every component of $Q \times_X U_r''$ has the same dimension (as it parametrizes chains of free curves). Together, this shows that every component of $Q \times_X U_r''$ will dominate Q so long as there is some component which dominates Q. But it is clear that a general chain of free curves in Q can be attached to a free curve in U_r, so that the map from $Q \times_X U_r''$ to Q must be dominant for at least one component. Noting that N is a component of $Q \times_X U_r''$ for dimension reasons, we obtain from the induction hypothesis the first statement for N since flatness is stable under base change and composition. The last statement follows by the same logic. □

Lemma 5.11. *Consider a component of chains of free curves with a marked point on each end, that is, a main component*

$$N \subset M_1'' \times_X M_2'' \times_X \ldots \times_X M_r''.$$

Fix a closed subset $Z \subsetneq X$. Consider the map $f : N \to X$ induced by the first marked point. For the fiber F of f over a general point of X, every component of F generically parametrizes a chain of free curves C such that the map $g : C \to X$ induced by the last marked point does not have image in Z.

Proof. The proof is by induction on the length of the chain. Consider the base case $f : M_1'' \to X$. Since reducible curves form a codimension 1 locus, every component of a general fiber of f must contain irreducible curves. Since there is a closed subset of X containing every non-free irreducible curve in M_1, we see that every component of a general fiber of F must contain free curves. The ability to avoid Z follows from Lemma 5.10.

We now prove the induction step. Via projection N maps into a component $Q \subset M_1'' \times_X \ldots \times_X M_{r-1}''$. By induction Q satisfies the desired property. Set Z_0 to be the set of points in X such that every component of a fiber over $X \backslash Z_0$ of the evaluation along the first marked

point $M_r'' \to X$ will contain free curves. Consider the evaluation along the first marked point (of Q) denoted by $\tilde{f} : Q \times_X M_r'' \to X$. The fibers of this map are products $F \times_X M_r''$ where F is a fiber of $Q \to X$; choosing the fiber F general with respect to Z_0, we see that every component of every fiber will contain a chain of free curves. In particular, this is also true for the map $f : N \to X$ which is a restriction of $\tilde{f}$ to a component. The ability to avoid Z via the last marked point follows from Lemma 5.10. □

Lemma 5.12. *Consider a parameter space of chains of free curves, that is, a main component*

$$N \subset M_1' \times_X M_2'' \times_X \ldots \times_X M_{r-1}'' \times_X M_r'.$$

Suppose that curves in M_j degenerate into a chain of two free curves in $\widetilde{M}_j' \times_X \widehat{M}_j'$. Then N contains a main component of

$$M_1' \times_X M_2'' \times_X \ldots \times_X M_{j-1}'' \times_X \widetilde{M}_j'' \times_X \widehat{M}_j'' \times_X M_{j+1}'' \times_X \ldots \times_X M_{r-1}'' \times_X M_r'.$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.10, we know that the projection $N \to M_j''$ is dominant, hence surjective by properness. So we know that N contains a point of

$$M_1' \times_X M_2'' \times_X \ldots \times_X M_{j-1}'' \times_X \widetilde{M}_j'' \times_X \widehat{M}_j'' \times_X M_{j+1}'' \times_X \ldots \times_X M_{r-1}'' \times_X M_r'.$$

If we can show that it contains a point which is a chain of free curves, then since such points are smooth in $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X)$ we can conclude that N will contain an entire component of chains of length $r+1$.

Since the map $N \to M_j''$ is surjective, in particular, for any two-pointed length 2 chain in $\widetilde{M}_j'' \times_X \widehat{M}_j''$ there is a curve parametrized by N containing this chain. Since the curves are free, we may choose a chain such that the first and last marked points are general. The fiber of N over this point is a union of components of

$$G_1 \subset M_1' \times_X \ldots \times_X M_{j-1}''$$

under a product with

$$G_2 \subset M_{j+1}'' \times_X \ldots \times_X M_r'$$

where G_1 and G_2 are the fibers of the last or first marking respectively. Applying Lemma 5.11, we see that every component of the fiber over this point contains chains of free curves. □

5.3. **Counting chains of free curves.**

Definition 5.13. Let $C_1 \cup \ldots \cup C_r$ be a chain of free curves, with map $f : C \to X$. Let $f^\dagger : \{1, \ldots, r\} \to \overline{M}_{0,0}(X)$ denote the function which assigns to i the unique component of the moduli space containing C_i. We call $f^\dagger$ the combinatorial type of f.

Lemma 5.14. *Let X be a smooth projective variety and let M be a component of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d)$. Suppose that M contains a point f parametrizing a chain of free curves. For any $\tilde{f}^\dagger$ which is a precomposition of $f^\dagger$ with a permutation, M also contains a point representing a chain of free curves with combinatorial type $\tilde{f}^\dagger$.*

Proof. Suppose that $f : C \to X$ denotes our original chain of free curves. A tangent space calculation shows that f is a smooth point of M.

It suffices to prove the statement when $\tilde{f}^\dagger$ differs from $f^\dagger$ by a transposition of two adjacent elements. Suppose that T_1 and T_2 are two adjacent components of C. Let S_1 denote the

rest of the chain which attaches to T_1, and S_2 denote the rest of the chain which attaches to T_2. After deforming f, we may suppose that the intersection of T_1 and T_2 maps to a general point x of X.

Suppose we leave T_1 and T_2 fixed, but deform S_1 and S_2, maintaining a point of intersection with T_1 or T_2 respectively, so that the specialized curves S_1' and S_2' contain the point x. By generality of the situation, the deformed S_1' and S_2' are still chains of free curves. The stable curve $g : D \to X$ corresponding to this deformation looks like a single rational curve Z contracted by g to the point x with four chains of free curves S_1', T_1, T_2, S_2' attached to Z. Another tangent space calculation (as in [Tes05, Corollary 1.6]) shows that g is also a smooth point of M. However, by a similar argument g is the deformation of a chain of rational curves of the type $S_1'' \cup T_2 \cup T_1 \cup S_2''$ where S_1'' and S_2'' are deformations of S_1' and S_2'. □

Lemma 5.15. *Let X be a smooth projective variety and let L be a big and nef $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X. Fix a positive integer q. Consider the set $\mathcal{Z}$ of generically finite dominant covers $f : Z \to X$ such that there is a component T of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(Z)$ which generically parametrizes free curves of f^*L-degree $\leq q$ and such that the induced map $T \to \overline{M}_{0,0}(X)$ is dominant birational onto a component. Up to birational equivalence, there are only finitely many elements of $\mathcal{Z}$.*

Proof. For degree reasons, there are only finitely many components M of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X)$ which can be the closure of the image of such a map. Each such M generically parametrizes free curves. Thus, there is a unique component M' of $\overline{M}_{0,1}$ lying over M. Let $g : M' \to X$ denote the universal family map, and let $h : \overline{Z} \to X$ denote the Stein factorization of a resolution of g. If the map g factors rationally through a generically finite dominant map $f : Z \to X$, then so does h. Thus for any given component M there can only be finitely many corresponding elements of $\mathcal{Z}$. □

Theorem 5.16. *Let X be a smooth projective variety and let L be a big and nef $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X. Fix a positive integer q and fix a subset $\mathcal{N} \subset \overline{M}_{0,0}(X)$ where each component generically parametrizes free curves of anticanonical degree at most q. There is a polynomial $P(d)$ such that there are at most $P(d)$ components of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d)$ which contain a chain of free curves of total L-degree d where each free curve is parametrized by a component of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X)$ contained in $\mathcal{N}$.*

Note that any chain of free curves on X can be smoothed yielding a free curve ([Kol96, II.7.6 Theorem]). Thus any component of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d)$ which contains a chain of free curves must generically parametrize free curves.

Proof. Let $\{M_\beta\}_{\beta \in \Xi}$ denote the elements of $\mathcal{N}$. For each component, we have a universal family map $\nu_\beta : M_\beta' \to X$. We let e_β denote the degree of the Stein factorization of a resolution of ν_β and set $e = \sup_{\beta \in \Xi} e_\beta$. Note that since we have included a resolution in the definition, if $e_\beta = 1$ then the general fiber of ν_β is irreducible.

The proof is by induction on e. First suppose that $e = 1$. Let M be a component of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d)$ satisfying the desired condition. Since a chain of free curves is a smooth point of M, to count such components M it suffices to count all possible components of the parameter space of chains of free curves from $\mathcal{N}$ of total degree d. In fact, by applying Lemma 5.14, we may reorder the combinatorial type however we please. Furthermore, for any choice of combinatorial type the parameter space of chains of that type

$$M_1' \times_X M_2'' \times_X \ldots \times_X M_{r-1}'' \times_X M_r'$$

is irreducible since by assumption each degree $e_i = 1$. Thus the number of possible M is at most the possible ways of choosing (with replacement and unordered) components of $\mathcal{N}$ such that the total degree adds up to d. This count is polynomial in d.

Before continuing with the proof, we make an observation:

Observation 5.17. Suppose that M_1 and M_2 are components of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X)$ which generically parametrize free curves, and that (a resolution of) the map $M_1' \to X$ has degree 1. Then there is a unique main component of $M_1' \times_X M_2'$ which parametrizes length 2 chains of free curves. Indeed, since the general fiber of $M_1' \times_X M_2' \to M_2'$ is irreducible and M_2' is irreducible, we see that $M_1' \times_X M_2'$ is irreducible.

Now suppose that $e > 1$. For a dominant generically finite map $g : Z \to X$ of degree ≥ 2 with Z smooth, let $\mathcal{N}_Z$ denote the subset of $\mathcal{N}$ consisting of components M such that the universal map $M' \to X$ factors rationally through Z. Note that the locus where the rational map to Z is not defined must miss the general fiber of $M' \to M$. Thus, we obtain a family of free curves on Z parametrized by an open subset of M. A deformation calculation shows that the general curve has vanishing intersection with the ramification divisor; in particular, M is birational to a component of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(Z)$. Note that for any component of $\mathcal{N}_Z$ the degree of the rational map from the component to Z is strictly smaller than for the corresponding component in $\mathcal{N}$. Consider the corresponding families of rational curves on Z measured with respect to the big and nef divisor g^*L. By the induction hypothesis, there is a polynomial $P_Z(d)$ which gives an upper bound for the number of components of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(Z, d)$ which arise by gluing chains from $\mathcal{N}_Z$ on Z. Furthermore, by Lemma 5.15 there are only finitely many Z for which $\mathcal{N}_Z$ is non-empty.

Fix a positive integer r and a dominant generically finite map $f : Z \to X$ of degree ≥ 2 with Z smooth. As we vary over possible choices $M_i \in \mathcal{N}$, consider all main components of $M_1' \times_X \ldots \times_X M_k'$ such that there is an integer b where the component M of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X)$ obtained by gluing the first b curves has L-degree r and has a universal family map which factors rationally through Z, but if we consider the component arising from gluing the first $b+1$ curves, the Stein factorization of a resolution of the universal family map has degree 1. We see there are at most $P_Z(r)$ possible components M obtained by gluing the first b curves in the chain. Next consider adding one more component. By degree considerations, there can be at most $e \cdot P_Z(r)$ components obtained by gluing the first $(b+1)$ curves, and for any such component the universal family has map to X with generically irreducible fibers. Finally, to add on the remaining components, we may use Lemma 5.14 to reorder the other components arbitrarily. Applying Observation 5.17, we see that the total number of glued components for this choice of b and Z is bounded above by $e \cdot P_Z(r)$ times the number of ways to choose (with replacement and unordered) $(k-b-1)$ components from $\mathcal{N}$.

In total, the number of components of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X)$ containing chains of curves from $\mathcal{N}$ of degree d will be bounded above by the sum of the previous bounds as we vary Z and r. Let $Q(k)$ denote the polynomial representing the number of ways to choose k components (with replacement and unordered) from $\mathcal{N}$. Altogether, the number of components is bounded above by the polynomial in d given by

$$e \cdot Q(d) \cdot \sum_Z \sum_{r \leq d} P_Z(r).$$

□

6. Geometric Manin's Conjecture

In this section we present a precise version of Manin's Conjecture for rational curves. We will need the following definition:

Definition 6.1. Let X be a smooth uniruled projective variety and let L be a big and nef $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X.

- The rationality index $r(X, L)$ is the smallest positive rational number of the form $L \cdot \alpha$ as α varies over all classes in $N_1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$.
- Let F denote the face of $\mathrm{Nef}_1(X)$ dual to $K_X + a(X, L)L$ and let V be the subspace spanned by F. (Note that by [HTT15, Theorem 2.16] V is a rational subspace with respect to the lattice of curve classes.) Let Q denote the rational hyperplane in V consisting of all curve classes with vanishing intersection against L; there is a unique measure $d\Omega$ on Q normalized by the lattice of integral curve classes. This also induces a measure on the parallel affine plane $Q_r := \{\beta \in V | L \cdot \beta = r(X, L)\}$. We define $\alpha(X, L)$ to be the volume of the polytope $Q_r \cap F$.

  In other words, $\alpha(X, L)$ is the top coefficient of the Ehrhart polynomial for the polytope obtained by slicing F by the codimension 1 plane Q_r.

6.1. **Statement of conjecture: Fano varieties.** Manin's Conjecture predicts the growth rate of components of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$. However, we may need to remove certain components of the moduli space to obtain the expected behavior due to the presence of "accumulating subvarieties." The simplest version is to remove all curves on a closed subset of X. We can then encode the growth rate via the pole behavior of a height zeta function.

Definition 6.2. Let X be a smooth Fano projective variety. Fix a variable $q > 1$ and an open set $U \subset X$, and for any $s \in \mathbb{C}$, we define the height zeta function by

$$\mathsf{Z}(U, q, s) = \sum_W q^{\dim W + sK_X.C}$$

as W ranges over all components of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ parametrizing curves which intersect U and C is a general member of W. This uniformly converges to a holomorphic function when $\Re(s) \gg 0$.

However, as is well-recognized in the number-theoretic setting, removing a closed subset is not sufficient to obtain the expected poles ([BT96], [Pey03], [BL15], [Rud14]). Recently, attention has focused on a "thin set" version of Manin's conjecture over a number field K, where we are allowed to remove a thin set of points from $X(K)$. Following the results of [LT16], we should reinterpret these thin sets via the geometry of the a and b constants, leading to the following "discounted" height zeta function:

Definition 6.3. Let X be a smooth projective Fano variety. Fix a variable $q > 1$ and define

$$\widetilde{\mathsf{Z}}(X, q, s) = \sum_W q^{\dim W + sK_X.C}$$

where W runs over all components of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ parametrizing curves such that the family map $s : \mathcal{C} \to X$ does not rationally factor through a morphism $f : Y \to X$ breaking the weakly balanced condition for $-K_X$ and C is a general member of W. Again this converges to a holomorphic function when $\Re(s) \gg 0$.

Remark 6.4. Note that by Theorem 4.4 any such family of curves is dominant and there is no longer any need to specify an open set U – the function is independent of choice of open set.

For the sake of internal consistency, we are forced to remove all such components: according to the conjecture below, they will necessarily give a higher-than-expected contribution to the growth of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$. Furthermore, the difference between $\mathsf{Z}(X, q, s)$ and $\widetilde{\mathsf{Z}}(X, q, s)$ should be "small" in the sense that one only needs to remove contributions from finitely many $f : Y \to X$ as in Conjecture 3.3. So $\widetilde{\mathsf{Z}}(X, q, s)$ is a satisfactory substitute for $\mathsf{Z}(X, q, s)$.

While we can expect $\widetilde{\mathsf{Z}}(U, q, s)$ to have poles of the right order, the optimal form of Manin's Conjecture also predicts the leading coefficient of the pole. In this case it is still not sufficient to remove contributions from morphisms breaking the weakly balanced condition; we also must remove contributions from some (but not all) morphisms satisfying

$$(a(Y, -f^*K_X), b(Y, -f^*K_X)) = (a(X, -K_X), b(X, -K_X)).$$

As discussed in Section 3.3, we can predict which morphisms f to discount using face contracting morphisms.

Definition 6.5. Let X be a smooth projective Fano variety. Fix a variable $q > 1$ and define

$$\overline{\mathsf{Z}}(X, q, s) = \sum_W q^{\dim W + sK_X.C}$$

where W runs over all dominant components of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ parametrizing curves such that the family map $s : \mathcal{C} \to X$ does not rationally factor through a morphism $f : Y \to X$ which is face contracting with respect to $-K_X$ and C is a general member of W. Again this function converges to a holomorphic function when $\Re(s) \gg 0$.

Given Theorem 4.4, the behavior of the height zeta functions $\widetilde{\mathsf{Z}}(X, q, s)$ and $\overline{\mathsf{Z}}(X, q, s)$ are entirely determined by the number of components of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$. The following conjecture follows from Conjecture 5.5 using a standard argument:

Conjecture 6.6 (Geometric Manin's Conjecture: Fano case)**.** Let X be a smooth projective Fano variety of dimension n. Then

$$\overline{\mathsf{Z}}(X, q, s)(1 - q^{(1-s)r(X,-K_X)})^{\rho(X)}$$

admits a holomorphic continuation to a half plane $\Re(s) > 1 - \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Moreover its leading term is given by

$$\lim_{s \to 1} \overline{\mathsf{Z}}(X, q, s)(1 - q^{(1-s)r(X,-K_X)})^{\rho(X)} = Mq^n \alpha(X, -K_X)(\rho(X) - 1)!.$$

for some positive integer M determined by the number of components.

The height zeta function $\overline{\mathsf{Z}}(X, q, s)$ has an associated counting function

$$\overline{N}(X, q, d) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{W \in M_d} q^{\dim W}$$

where M_d is the set of dominant components of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ parametrizing curves of anti-canonical degree $dr(X, -K_X)$ such that the family map $s : \mathcal{C} \to X$ does not rationally factor

through a morphism $f : Y \to X$ which is face contracting with respect to $-K_X$. We can define counting functions $N(U, q, d), \widetilde{N}(X, q, d)$ in the analogous way.

By Tauberian arguments in [CLT10, Corollary A.13 and Corollary A.14], the counting functions are expected to satisfy certain asymptotic formulae, e.g. as $d \to \infty$:

$$\overline{N}(X, q, d) \sim \frac{Mq^{\dim X}\alpha(X, -K_X)}{1 - q^{-r(X,L)}} q^{dr(X,L)} d^{\rho(X)-1}$$

The Geometric Manin's Conjecture is known in the following cases:

- general hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^n$ of degree $< n - 1$ by [RY16],
- homogeneous varieties by [Tho98], [KP01],
- toric varieties by work of Bourqui, e.g. [Bou16],
- Del Pezzo surfaces by [Tes09],

as well as other examples. In the last two cases we need to explain how to derive the result from the cited papers.

Example 6.7. Let X be a smooth del Pezzo surface of degree ≥ 2. Fix a nef curve class α and consider the space parametrizing dominant families of rational curves of class α. For simplicity we may assume that X has index 1, i.e., it contains a (-1)-curve. Then:

- [Tes09] shows that the sublocus parametrizing maps birational onto their image is either irreducible or empty.
- An easy deformation count shows that if there is a component parametrizing maps which are non-birational onto their image, the image must be a fiber of a map from X to $\mathbb{P}^1$.

Let U denote the complement of the (-1) curves on X. Any α admitting components of the second kind is contained in an extremal face of the nef cone. Thus, such components do not contribute to the leading term of the pole of $\mathsf{Z}(U, q, s)$, and it suffices to consider the first kind. By [Tes09, Remark 4.4], this space of rational curves is not empty for any α in the interior of the nef cone.

By the analysis above, we deduce that

$$\mathsf{Z}(U, q, s)(1 - q^{(1-s)})^{\rho(X)}$$

admits a holomorphic continuation to the half plane $\Re(s) \gg 1 - \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon >$ with the leading constant

$$q^2\alpha(X, -K_X)(\rho(X) - 1)!.$$

Using [CLT10, Corollary A.13], we have

$$N(U, q, d) \sim \frac{q^2\alpha(X, -K_X)}{1 - q^{-1}} q^d d^{\rho(X)-1}$$

as $d \in \mathbb{N}$ goes to ∞. According to [LT16, Theorem 6.2], this result exactly coheres with the expected behavior arising from an analysis of the constants in Manin's Conjecture.

Example 6.8. Let X be a smooth projective toric variety with open torus U. [Bou16, Theorem 1.10] shows that every nef curve class which has intersection ≥ 1 against every torus-invariant divisor is represented by a unique dominant family of rational curves. Using a standard argument,

$$\mathsf{Z}(U, q, s)(1 - q^{(1-s)r(X,L)})^{\rho(X)}$$

admits a holomorphic continuation to the half plane $\Re(s) > 1 - \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ with the leading constant

$$q^{\dim X}\alpha(X, -K_X)(\rho(X) - 1)!.$$

According to [LT16, Theorem 9.5], this result exactly coheres with the expected behavior arising from an analysis of the constants in Manin's Conjecture when X is Fano.

6.2. **Statement of conjecture: general case.** Let X be a smooth uniruled projective variety X and L a big and nef $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X. We define

$$\mathsf{Z}(U, L, q, s), \qquad \widetilde{\mathsf{Z}}(X, L, q, s) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \overline{\mathsf{Z}}(X, L, q, s)$$

exactly analogously to the Fano case, except that we only count contributions from curves with vanishing intersection against $K_X + a(X, L)L$. We define the associated counting functions $N(U, L, q, d), \widetilde{N}(X, L, q, d), \overline{N}(X, L, q, d)$ as before.

Remark 6.9. It is natural to ask whether these invariants actually count curve classes on some variety. Suppose first of all that $K_X + a(X, L)L$ has Iitaka dimension 0. [LTT16, Lemma 2.4] shows that after running the MMP for $(X, a(X, L)L)$ we obtain a variety X' with terminal singularities. Loosely speaking, we should expect that $\widetilde{N}(X, L, q, d)$ counts families of curves on X'. Similarly, if the Iitaka dimension of $K_X + a(X, L)L$ is positive, then $\widetilde{N}(X, L, q, d)$ should count curves on a birational model of a fiber of the Iitaka fibration.

More precisely: suppose for simplicity that $K_X + a(X, L)$ has Iitaka dimension 0 and let F denote the corresponding face of $\mathrm{Nef}_1(X)$. Suppose that $\alpha \in F$ and $\beta \in \mathrm{Nef}_1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}} \backslash F$ are two curve classes with the same pushforward to the minimal model X'. Note that families of rational curves of class β have lower expected dimension than families of rational curves of class α. In particular, the former will often form subfamilies of the latter under pushforward and so will not contribute to a count of families of rational curves on X'. Thus counting families of curves on X' should be the same as counting families of curves perpendicular to F.

This heuristic anticipates the existence of many families of rational curves with vanishing intersection against $K_X + a(X, L)L$ (see Conjecture 6.15). But even the existence of a single such family is a famous open problem in birational geometry.

Using a standard analysis as above, Conjecture 5.5 predicts:

Conjecture 6.10 (Geometric Manin's Conjecture)**.** Let X be a smooth projective uniruled variety of dimension n and let L be a big and nef $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X. Then

$$\overline{\mathsf{Z}}(X, q, s)(1 - q^{(a(X,L)-s)r(X,L)})^{b(X,L)}$$

admits a holomorphic continuation to a half plane $\Re(s) > a(X, L) - \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Moreover its leading term is given by

$$\lim_{s \to a(X,L)} \overline{\mathsf{Z}}(X, q, s)(1 - q^{(a(X,L)-s)r(X,L)})^{b(X,L)} = Mq^n\alpha(X, L)(b(X, L) - 1)!.$$

for some positive integer M determined by the number of components.

By [CLT10] the following asymptotic statement would be a consequence:

$$\overline{N}(X, L, q, d) \sim \frac{Mq^{\dim X}\alpha(X, L)}{1 - q^{-a(X,L)r(X,L)}} q^{da(X,L)r(X,L)} d^{b(X,L)-1}.$$

Example 6.11. Assuming Conjecture 6.15, the results of [HRS04] show that a general hypersurface with degree not too large will not admit subvarieties with higher a-values. Switching to the number-theoretic setting, we should then expect Manin's Conjecture to hold for such hypersurfaces with no exceptional set. Indeed, such results are obtained in the seminal work [Bir62] using the circle method when the dimension is exponentially larger than its degree.

Conversely, [BV16] uses the circle method to prove statements about the behavior of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ over $\mathbb{C}$ for hypersurfaces X of low degree. [Bou12] and [Bou13] prove related statements in the function field setting using universal torsors.

6.3. **Manin-type bounds.**

Theorem 6.12. *Let X be a smooth projective uniruled variety and let L be a big and nef $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X. Let U be the Zariski open subset which is the complement of the closure of all subvarieties $Y \subset X$ satisfying $a(Y, L|_Y) > a(X, L)$. Fix $\epsilon > 0$; then for sufficiently large q*

$$N(U, L, q, d) = O\left(q^{d(a(X,L)r(X,L)+\epsilon)}\right).$$

This of course implies similar bounds for $\widetilde{N}(X, L, q, d)$ and $\overline{N}(X, L, q, d)$

Proof. [Man95, Equation 0.5] shows that there is a positive constant C such that the number of components of free curves of degree d against a fixed big and nef divisor is at most C^d. The result follows by combining this equation with Theorem 4.4 and a standard Tauberian analysis. □

It is also interesting to look for lower bounds on the number of components of rational curves. It is conjectured that free rational curves generate the nef cone of curves – this would follow from the existence of rational curves in the smooth locus of mildly singular Fano varieties. [TZ14] proves a weaker statement:

Lemma 6.13. *Let X be a smooth projective rationally connected variety. Then $N_1(X)$ is spanned by the classes of free rational curves.*

Proof. By [TZ14, Theorem 1.3], $N_1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is spanned by the classes of rational curves $\{C_i\}$. Since X is rationally connected, there is a family of very free curves C such that there is a very free member of the family through any point of X. By gluing sufficiently many of these C onto one of the C_i to form a comb, we can deform to get a smooth curve (as in [Kol96, II.7.10 Proposition]). It is then clear that these smoothed curves and the class of C together span $N_1(X)$. □

Theorem 6.14. *Let X be a smooth projective Fano variety and let U be an open set not intersecting any subvariety Y with $a(Y, -K_X) > a(X, -K_X)$. There is a positive constant C such that*

$$N(U, q, d) \geq C q^{dr(X,-K_X)} d^{\rho(X)-1}.$$

Proof. Free curves which meet at a point can be glued to a free curve of larger degree (see [Kol96, II.7.6 Theorem]). By Lemma 6.13, the classes of free curves contain a subsemigroup in $N_1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of full rank. The result follows from a Tauberian argument. □

6.4. **Geometric heuristics.** We have seen that for the internal consistency of Manin's Conjecture one should discount contributions of $f : Y \to X$ with higher a and b-values. In this section, we give a heuristic argument proving that such components *must* be discounted. Since we are only interested in heuristics, in this subsection we will assume the following difficult conjecture about rational curves.

Conjecture 6.15. Let X be a smooth projective variety and let L be a big and nef $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X. For each element $\alpha \in \mathrm{Nef}_1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfying $(K_X + a(X, L)L) \cdot \alpha = 0$ and with sufficiently high L-degree, there exists a dominant family of maps from $\mathbb{P}^1$ to X whose images have class α.

Conjecture 6.15 would follow quickly from standard conjectures predicting the existence of free rational curves contained in the smooth locus of a log Fano variety. Assuming this conjecture, generically finite morphisms $f : Y \to X$ with higher a, b-values will dominate the calculation of $N(X, q, d)$.

Proposition 6.16. *Assume Conjecture 6.15. Let X be a smooth projective weak Fano variety and set $L = -K_X$. Suppose that $f : Y \to X$ is a generically finite morphism such that $a(Y, -K_X) > 1 = a(X, -K_X)$. Then there exists components of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ of any sufficiently high degree which factor through $f(Y) \subset X$ and have higher than the expected dimension.*

Proposition 6.17. *Assume Conjecture 6.15. Let X be a smooth projective weak Fano variety and set $L = -K_X$. Suppose that we have a surjective generically finite map $f : Y \to X$ which is face contracting for L. There is a class $\alpha \in \mathrm{Nef}_1(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that the number of components of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, m\alpha)$ is bounded below by a polynomial of degree in m equal to the relative dimension of the faces.*

Both propositions are easily proven by repeating earlier arguments.

7. Fano threefolds

In this section we explore $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ for Fano threefolds of Picard rank 1 and index 2. For such varieties the behavior of the a and b constants with respect to subvarieties and covers is well-understood (see [LT16]). By applying the theory worked out above, we are able to understand the behavior of rational curves after making only a few computations in low degree.

7.1. **Polynomial bounds.** We prove Conjecture 5.1 for Fano threefolds when there are not subvarieties breaking the weakly balanced condition.

Lemma 7.1. *Let Y be a projective variety of dimension n and let $\phi : Y' \to Y$ be a resolution. Fix a point $p \in Y$ and suppose that there is a dominant family of rational curves through p on Y parametrized by a variety $W \subset \overline{M}_{0,0}(Y)$. Let C' denote the strict transform of a general curve in the family to Y'. If the fiber of ϕ over p has dimension k, then $\dim(W) \leq -K_{Y'} \cdot \mathcal{C}' - 2 + k$.*

Proof. Let W' be the variety parametrizing deformations of the strict transform C'. Since this family dominates Y', a general member is free. Thus the dimension of the sublocus W' parametrizing curves through a general fixed point p' in the preimage of p has at most the expected dimension $-K_{Y'} \cdot \mathcal{C}' - 2$. The statement is now clear. □

Theorem 7.2. *Let X be a smooth projective Fano threefold for which no subvariety Y satisfies $a(Y, -K_X|_Y) > a(X, -K_X)$. Let W be a component of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d)$ which generically parametrizes free curves and let W_p denote the sublocus parametrizing curves through the point $p \in X$. There is a finite union of points $S \subset X$ such that*

- *W_p has the expected dimension $-K_X \cdot C - 2$ for points p not in S, and*
- *W_p has dimension at most $-K_X \cdot C - 1$ for points $p \in S$.*

Furthermore for $p \notin S$ the general curve parametrized by W_p is irreducible.

Proof. By [Kol96, II.3.5.4 Corollary and II.3.10.1 Corollary], there is a proper closed subset $Q \subsetneq X$ which contains any non-free component of a member of the family of curves W.

Let W' be a component of W_p. First suppose that the general curve parametrized by W' is irreducible. If the general curve parametrized by W' is free, then W' has the expected dimension, so we may suppose otherwise. We divide into cases based on the dimension of the subvariety swept out by curves in W'.

Suppose that the curves parametrized by W' all map to a fixed curve $Y \subset X$. If the general curve maps d-to-1, then the dimension of W' is at most $2d - 2$. Comparing against the expected dimension $-K_X \cdot C - 2$, we see that such curves could give an entire component only when $-K_X \cdot Y = 1$. However, in this case we have $a(C, -K_X|_C) = 2$, a contradiction to the assumption on a-values.

Next suppose that the curves parametrized by W' dominate an irreducible projective surface $Y \subset Q$. Let $\phi : Y' \to Y$ be a resolution; applying Lemma 7.1 we see that

$$\dim(W') \leq -K_{Y'} \cdot C' - 1.$$

If $\dim(W') \geq -K_X \cdot C$, then by the equation above we see that $(K_{Y'} - \phi^* K_X) \cdot C'$ is negative. This implies that $a(Y, -K_X) > 1$, a contradiction to our hypothesis. This proves that $\dim(W_p) \leq -K_X \cdot C - 1$. To construct S, note that any point where $\dim(W_p)$ is larger than expected must lie in the image of curve contracted by a resolution $\phi : Y' \to Y$ where Y is a component of Q. The union of all such points is a finite set S.

Now suppose that the curves parametrized by W' are reducible. The dimension counting arguments of [CS09, Proposition 2.5] show how to deduce the desired conclusion for curves parametrized by W' from the same properties for their irreducible components. In particular, [CS09] shows that the general curve parametrized by W' for points $p \notin S$ is irreducible. □

Applying the arguments of [CS09], we now obtain:

Theorem 7.3. *Let X be a smooth projective Fano threefold such that $a(Y, -K_X|_Y) \leq a(X, -K_X)$ for every subvariety Y. Then any free curve on X deforms into a chain of free curves of anticanonical degree $\leq \dim(X) + 1$.*

Proof. By combining Theorem 7.2 with the dimension counting arguments of [CS09, Proposition 2.5, Corollary 2.6, and Corollary 2.7], we see that each component of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d)$ generically parametrizes irreducible free curves, and that each free curve of anticanonical degree $> \dim(X) + 1$ degenerates into a chain of free curves each with degree at most $\dim(X) + 1$. □

Remark 7.4. A similar analysis works for Fano fourfolds such that $a(Y, -K_X) < a(X, -K_X)$ for every subvariety Y. We can again conclude that every free curve deforms into a chain of free curves of anticanonical degree $\leq \dim(X) + 1$.

7.2. **Smooth Fano 3-folds of Picard rank one and index two.** Let X be a smooth Fano threefold such that $\mathrm{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}H$, $H^3 \geq 2$, and $-K_X = 2H$. The a and b constants are well-understood for such varieties:

- By [LTT16, Section 6] there is no subvariety Y with $a(Y, -K_X|_Y) > a(X, -K_X)$. By Theorem 7.3 any component of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$ is dominant and has the expected dimension.
- The variety of lines W and its universal family $\mathcal{U} \to W$ with the evaluation map $s : \mathcal{U} \to X$ satisfies $a(\mathcal{U}, -K_X) = a(X, -K_X)$ and $b(\mathcal{U}, -K_X) = b(X, -K_X)$. Furthermore, any generically finite map $f : Y \to X$ such that $a(Y, -f^*K_X) = a(X, -K_X)$ factors rationally through $\mathcal{U}$.

  Indeed, it suffices to consider the case when Y is smooth, and we break into cases based on the Iitaka dimension of the adjoint pair. If $\kappa(K_Y - f^*K_X) = 2$, then the fibers of the map to the canonical model for this adjoint pair are curves with a-value 1. Thus their images on X must be lines, and f must factor through $\mathcal{U}$. We next show that $\kappa(K_Y - f^*K_X) = 1$ is impossible. If it were the case, then the fibers of the canonical map would be surfaces with a-value 1. But by the arguments of [LTT16, Section 6.3] the adjoint pair restricted to such surfaces must have Iitaka dimension 1, a contradiction. Finally, by [LT16, Theorem 1.9] there is no such cover satisfying $\kappa(K_Y - f^*K_X) = 0$.

From these two properties, the framework of Section 5.1 suggests that $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X, H, d)$ consists of two irreducible components R_d, N_d such that any general morphism of R_d is birational and any morphism of N_d factors through $\mathcal{U}$. This picture has been proved for cubic threefolds by Starr ([CS09, Theorem 1.2]) and complete intersections of two quadrics by Castravet ([Cas04]). The goal of this section is to verify this expectation for other Fano 3-folds of Picard rank one and index two. Even though the cases of cubic threefolds and complete intersections of two quadrics are understood, we will provide proofs of these cases as well for completeness.

Although we are primary interested in $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$, it turns out that it is crucial to consider the Kontsevich moduli spaces of rational curves and its evaluation map

$$\overline{M}_{0,n}(X, d), \quad \mathrm{ev}_n : \overline{M}_{0,n}(X, d) \to X^n,$$

to study the number of components of $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$. First we recall the classification of Fano 3-folds of Picard rank one and index two.

Theorem 7.5. [IP99, Theorem 3.3.1] *Let X be a smooth Fano 3-fold with $\mathrm{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}H$, $H^3 \geq 2$, and $-K_X = 2H$. Then we have $2 \leq H^3 \leq 5$ and the 3-fold X has the following form:*

- *when $H^3 = 5$, X is a section of the Grassmannian $G(1, 4)$ of lines in $\mathbb{P}^4$ by a general linear subspace of codimension 3;*
- *when $H^3 = 4$, X is a complete intersection of two quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^5$;*
- *when $H^3 = 3$, X is a cubic threefold in $\mathbb{P}^4$;*
- *when $H^3 = 2$, X is a double cover of $\mathbb{P}^3$ ramified along a smooth quartic surface.*

From now on we assume that X is a smooth Fano 3-fold with $\mathrm{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}H$, $H^3 \geq 2$, and $-K_X = 2H$.

Theorem 7.6. *Suppose that $H^3 \geq 2$. The space $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X,1)$ is isomorphic to the variety of lines on X. In particular, it is irreducible and generically parametrizes a free curve.*

Proof. See [Isk79, Remark 1.5, Proposition 1.6, and Remark 1.7]. □

Proposition 7.7. *Suppose that $H^3 \geq 2$. Moreover when $H^3 = 2$, we further assume that X is general in its moduli. Then we have the following properties:*

(1) *The space $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X,2)$ consists of two irreducible components $\mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{N}_2$. Any general element of $\mathcal{R}_2$ is a stable map from an irreducible curve to a smooth conic covering X and any element of $\mathcal{N}_2$ is a degree 2 map from $\mathbb{P}^1$ to a line.*

(2) *The evaluation map*
$$\mathrm{ev}_1 : \mathcal{R}_2' \subset \overline{M}_{0,1}(X,2) \to X$$
is dominant and has irreducible fiber $\mathrm{ev}^{-1}(x) \cap \mathcal{R}_2'$ for a general $x \in X$.

Proof. We study this proposition based on case by case studies.

Complete intersections of two quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^5$: First we show the statement (1). Let $\mathcal{N}_2$ be the union of components M of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X,2)$ such that for any general element (C,f) of M, there is a component of C such that the restriction of f is not birational to its image. Then (C,f) is a stable map of degree 2 from $\mathbb{P}^1$ to a line on X. It is clear that the parameter space $\mathcal{N}_2$ is irreducible because of Theorem 7.6. Let $\mathcal{R}_2$ be the union of components of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X,2)$ not contained in $\mathcal{N}_2$. By a dimension count, a general element (C,f) on $\mathcal{R}_2$ is a stable map from $\mathbb{P}^1$ to a smooth conic contained in X. Thus to prove the irreducibility of $\mathcal{R}_2$, we only need to show that the family of smooth conics is irreducible.

Let $C \subset X$ be a smooth conic. Then there is a unique plane P containing C. We denote the pencil of quadrics containing X by $\{Q_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^1}$. Then there exists a unique quadric Q_λ containing P. Indeed, let q_λ be a quadric form associated to Q_λ and V be a 3 dimensional vector space associated to P. Since Q_λ intersects with P along C, the restrictions of $q_0|_V$ and $q_\infty|_V$ are proportional. Thus there exists a unique q_λ vanishing identically on V. All smooth conics in X arise in this way, thus we only need to show that the family of planes contained in some Q_λ is irreducible. Each smooth quadric contains two families of planes, and quadrics cones contain one family of planes. Let $\pi : W \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the relative family of planes for $\{Q_\lambda\}$ over $\mathbb{P}^1$. Its Stein factorization is a smooth irreducible genus 2 curve $D \to \mathbb{P}^1$. Over D each fiber of π is irreducible. Thus $\mathcal{R}_2$ is irreducible. This shows (1).

Next we prove (2). We consider the evaluation map
$$\mathrm{ev}_1 : \overline{M}_{0,1}(X,2) = \mathcal{N}_2' \cup \mathcal{R}_2' \to X.$$
It is clear that both $\mathcal{R}_2'$ and $\mathcal{N}_2'$ are dominant, and for any $x \in X$, $\mathrm{ev}_1^{-1}(x) \cap \mathcal{N}_2'$ has dimension 2. We fix $x \in X$ and let M be a component of $\mathrm{ev}_1^{-1}(x) \cap \mathcal{R}_2'$. For a $(C,f) \in M$ there are three possibilities:

- C is irreducible and the image is a smooth conic in X;
- C is reducible consisting of two rational curves, and the image is the union of two lines;
- C is irreducible and f is a degree 2 map to a line.

In the first case, the family of planes P which contain a fixed point and are contained in a quadric Q_λ is parametrized by a one dimensional family with two components. As we vary $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^1$ these one-dimensional families sweep out an irreducible surface in the parameter

space. In the second case, the dimension of the locus parametrizing such C is 1 dimensional. Thus it cannot form a component of $\mathrm{ev}_1^{-1}(x) \cap \mathcal{R}_2'$. For a general $x \in X$, the third case does not occur, since any such line must be contained in the branch divisor of the map from the universal family of lines.

Cubic threefolds in $\mathbb{P}^4$: We prove (1) first. We define $\mathcal{N}_2$ as before and it is easy to see that this is irreducible and parametrizes degree 2 stable maps from $\mathbb{P}^1$ to lines. Let $\mathcal{R}_2$ be the union of the remaining components. For a general element $(C, f) \in \mathcal{R}_2$, (C, f) is a birational map from an irreducible curve to a smooth conic in $\mathbb{P}^4$. Thus we need to show that the variety of conics is irreducible. Let C be a smooth conic contained in $X \subset \mathbb{P}^4$. Then there exists a unique plane $P \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ containing C. The intersection of X and P is the union of a smooth conic and a line. Conversely if we have a line $l \subset X$ and a plane P containing l, then the intersection $X \cap P$ is the union of a conic and a line. Thus the variety of smooth conics has the structure of a $\mathbb{P}^2$-bundle over the variety of lines, showing that it is irreducible.

Next we prove (2). Let S be the finite set described in Theorem 7.6. For any $x \in X$, let l be a line not containing x. Then there exists a unique plane P containing l and x. The intersection $X \cap P$ gives us a conic passing through x. This locus is parametrized by an irreducible 2-dimensional family. Next suppose that $x \in l$. If $x \in X \setminus S$, then there are only finitely many such l. Not every plane P containing l defines a conic passing through x, but the planes which do form a 1 dimensional family. Thus this locus does not form a component of $\mathrm{ev}_1^{-1}(x) \cap \mathcal{R}_2'$ if $x \notin S$. Our assertion follows.

Double covers of $\mathbb{P}^3$ **ramified along smooth quartics**: We prove (1) first. Again we define $\mathcal{N}_2$ as before and it is easy to see that this is irreducible and parametrizing degree 2 stable maps from $\mathbb{P}^1$ to lines. Let $\mathcal{R}_2$ be the union of remaining components. For a general element $(C, f) \in \mathcal{R}_2$, (C, f) is a birational map from an irreducible curve to a conic in X. Thus we need to show that the variety of conics is irreducible. Let $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^3$ be the double cover ramified along a smooth quartic Y. Let C be a conic in X. Then there are two possibilities for C:

- the image of C via f is a line and C is a double cover of the line;
- the image of C via f is a conic D in $\mathbb{P}^3$ which is not a double line, and D is tangent to Y at each point of intersection.

In the first case, since C is rational the line must have at least one point which is tangent to Y. However, such lines only form a 3-dimensional family so the corresponding C cannot form a component of the variety of conics. In the second case, for each conic there exists a unique plane P containing D. For each plane $P \subset \mathbb{P}^3$, consider its intersection $\Gamma_P = P \cap Y$ which is a quartic plane curve and the pullback $f^{-1}(P)$ which is a degree 2 del Pezzo surface if Γ_P is smooth. Conics C corresponding to P are exactly conics in $f^{-1}(P)$ so the variety of conics is a 1 dimensional family over $(\mathbb{P}^3)^*$:

$$\mathcal{W} \to (\mathbb{P}^3)^*.$$

Let $\mathcal{D} \to (\mathbb{P}^3)^*$ be the Stein factorization which has degree 126. We would like to show that this $\mathcal{D}$ is irreducible.

To see this, we use the monodromy action and Lefschetz property developed by Kollár in [Kol15]. Let $\mathbb{P}^{14}$ be the space of plane quartic curves in $\mathbb{P}^2$. Let $U \subset \mathbb{P}^{14}$ be the Zariski open

set parametrizing smooth curves. Let $\mathcal{D}' \to U$ be the degree 126 finite cover parametrizing classes of conics on the double cover of $\mathbb{P}^2$ ramified along the quartic. It is shown in [LTT16, Example 8.5] that the fundamental group $\pi_1(U)$ acts on a fiber of $\mathcal{D}' \to U$ transitively. Now consider the space $\mathbb{P}^{34}$ of quartic surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^3$ and let $V' \subset \mathbb{P}^{34}$ be the Zariski open set parametrizing irreducible quartic surfaces. Let $U' \subset \mathbb{P}^{14}$ be the Zariski open set parametrizing irreducible plane quartics. We consider the following evaluation map

$$V' \times \mathrm{PGL}_4 \dashrightarrow U', \quad ([f], [A]) \mapsto [f((x_0, x_1, x_2, 0)A)].$$

The open subset $C_{V'} \subset V' \times \mathrm{PGL}_4$ where the map to U' is defined satisfies all the assumptions of [Kol15, Theorem 5]. For example, the map $C_{V'} \to U'$ is smooth since any fiber is a Zariski open subset of an affine space bundle over PGL_4. Furthermore, since $\mathbb{P}^{14} \backslash U'$ has codimension ≥ 2, the general $v \in V'$ will satisfy the Lefschetz property: the map $\pi_1((\{v\} \times \mathrm{PGL}_4)^0) \to \pi_1(U)$ will be surjective for a suitable open set $(\{v\} \times \mathrm{PGL}_4)^0$. Thus our assertion follows when the quartic surface Y is general.

Next we prove (2). Let $x \in X$. By a dimension count, for a general $(C, f) \in \mathrm{ev}^{-1}(x) \cap \mathcal{R}'_2$, a stable map (C, f) is a birational map from an irreducible curve to a conic which is not a double line. Planes in $\mathbb{P}^3$ passing through $f(x)$ are parametrized by the plane $H_x \subset (\mathbb{P}^3)^*$. For a general plane P in H_x, there are 126 classes of conics contained in $f^{-1}(P)$, and each class contains a unique conic passing through x. Thus $\mathrm{ev}^{-1}(x) \cap \mathcal{R}'_2$ is a degree 126 finite cover over H_x, so it is 2 dimensional. Moreover, for a general x, $H_x \subset (\mathbb{P}^3)^*$ satisfies Lefschetz property, so the irreducibility of the fiber follows.

Sections of the Grassmannian $G(1, 4)$: Again we define $\mathcal{N}_2$ as before and it is easy to see that this is irreducible and parametrizing degree 2 stable maps from $\mathbb{P}^1$ to lines. Let $\mathcal{R}_2$ be the union of remaining components. For a general element $(C, f) \in \mathcal{R}_2$, (C, f) is a birational map from an irreducible curve to a conic in X. Thus we need to show that the variety of conics is irreducible. (1) follows from [San14, Proposition 2.32]. (2) is [San14, Corollary 2.41].

□

From now on we assume that X is general when $H^3 = 2$.

Corollary 7.8. *The dimension of every irreducible component of* $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d)$ *is equal to*

$$\dim \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d) = 2d,$$

and for each component, the evaluation map ev *from* $\overline{M}_{0,1}(X, d)$ *is dominant to* X*. Moreover, a general member is either a birational stable map from an irreducible curve or a degree* d *stable map to a line in* X*.*

Proof. Combine Theorem 7.2 with the proof of [CS09, Proposition 2.5 and Corollary 2.6]. □

Theorem 7.9. *Let* $d \geq 2$*. Then* $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d)$ *consists of two irreducible components:*

$$\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d) = \mathcal{R}_d \cup \mathcal{N}_d$$

such that a general element $(C, f) \in \mathcal{R}_d$ *is a birational stable map from an irreducible curve and any element* $(C, f) \in \mathcal{N}_d$ *is a degree* d *stable map to a line in* X*. Moreover the fiber* $\mathrm{ev}_1^{-1}(x) \cap \mathcal{R}'_d$ *is irreducible for a general* $x \in X$*.*

Proof. We prove this theorem using induction on d. The case of $d = 2$ is settled by Proposition 7.7.

Suppose that $d > 2$ and we assume our assertion for any $2 \leq d' < d$. We denote by $\mathcal{N}_d$ the component parametrizing degree d stable maps to lines. It is clear that this is irreducible. Let M be an irreducible component of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d)$ which is different from $\mathcal{N}_d$. Then any general $(C, f) \in M$ is a birational stable map from an irreducible curve by Corollary 7.8. Using Theorem 7.3 we see that M contains a chain of free curves of degree at most 2. Furthermore, by Proposition 7.7 each component of the parameter space of conics contains a chain of lines. Applying Lemma 5.12, we can conclude that M contains a chain (C, f) of free lines of length d. Note that (C, f) is a smooth point of $M_{0,0}(X)$. If the image of f is a single line, then (C, f) is contained in $\mathcal{N}_d$. Since it is a smooth point, we conclude that $M = \mathcal{N}_d$ which is a contradiction.

So we may assume that (C, f) has a reducible image. This means that (C, f) is a point on the image $\Delta_{1,d-1}$ of $\mathcal{R}'_1 \times_X \mathcal{R}'_{d-1}$ which is irreducible by the induction hypothesis. Since (C, f) is a smooth point, we conclude that M contains $\Delta_{1,d-1}$ and such M must be unique. Thus our assertion follows.

Next we show that the fiber $\mathrm{ev}_1^{-1}(x) \cap \mathcal{R}'_d$ is irreducible for a general $x \in X$. Let $Y \to \mathcal{R}'_d$ be a smooth resolution. We would like to show that $Y \to X$ has connected fibers. Suppose not, i.e. the Stein factorization $Z \to X$ is nontrivial. Then it is shown in [LT16] that Z factors through $\mathcal{R}'_1$ rationally. This means that curves parametrized by $\mathcal{R}_d$ lift to $\mathcal{R}'_1$ and have vanishing intersection with the ramification divisor of the morphism $\mathcal{R}'_1 \to X$. Therefore, these curves are multiple covers of lines which contradicts with the fact that a general curve maps birationally onto its image. Thus our assertion follows.

□

Corollary 7.10. *We have*

$$N(X, H, q, d) \sim \frac{2q^3}{1 - q^{-2}} q^{2d},$$

as $d \in \mathbb{N}$ goes to ∞.

Proof. This follows from [CLT10, Corollary A.13]. □

References

[BDPP13] Sébastien Boucksom, Jean-Pierre Demailly, Mihai Paun, and Thomas Peternell. The pseudo-effective cone of a compact Kähler manifold and varieties of negative Kodaira dimension. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 22(2):201–248, 2013.

[Bir62] B. J. Birch. Forms in many variables. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser. A*, 265:245–263, 1961/1962.

[Bir16a] Caucher Birkar. Anti-pluricanonical systems on Fano varieties, 2016. arXiv:1603.05765 [math.AG].

[Bir16b] Caucher Birkar. Singularities of linear systems and boundedness of Fano varieties, 2016. arXiv:1609.05543 [math.AG].

[BK13] Roya Beheshti and N. Mohan Kumar. Spaces of rational curves on complete intersections. *Compos. Math.*, 149(6):1041–1060, 2013.

[BL15] T. D. Browning and D. Loughran. Varieties with too many rational points. *Math. Z.*, 2015. to appear.

[BM90] V. V. Batyrev and Yu. I. Manin. Sur le nombre des points rationnels de hauteur borné des variétés algébriques. *Math. Ann.*, 286(1-3):27–43, 1990.

[BM96] K. Behrend and Yu. Manin. Stacks of stable maps and Gromov-Witten invariants. *Duke Math. J.*, 85(1):1–60, 1996.

[Bou09] David Bourqui. Produit eulérien motivique et courbes rationnelles sur les variétés toriques. *Compos. Math.*, 145(6):1360–1400, 2009.

[Bou12] David Bourqui. Moduli spaces of curves and Cox rings. *Michigan Math. J.*, 61(3):593–613, 2012.

[Bou13] David Bourqui. Exemples de comptages de courbes sur les surfaces. *Math. Ann.*, 357(4):1291–1327, 2013.

[Bou16] David Bourqui. Algebraic points, non-anticanonical heights and the Severi problem on toric varieties. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 113(4):474–514, 2016.

[BT96] V. V. Batyrev and Y. Tschinkel. Rational points on some Fano cubic bundles. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 323(1):41–46, 1996.

[BV16] T.D. Browning and P. Vishe. Rational curves on hypersurfaces of low degree, 2016. arXiv:1611.00553 [math.AG].

[Cam92] F. Campana. Connexité rationnelle des variétés de Fano. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 25(5):539–545, 1992.

[Cas04] Ana-Maria Castravet. Rational families of vector bundles on curves. *Internat. J. Math.*, 15(1):13–45, 2004.

[CLT10] Antoine Chambert-Loir and Yuri Tschinkel. Igusa integrals and volume asymptotics in analytic and adelic geometry. *Confluentes Math.*, 2(3):351–429, 2010.

[CPW16] I. Cheltsov, J. Park, and J. Won. Cylinders in del Pezzo surfaces. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 2016. online publication.

[CS09] Izzet Coskun and Jason Starr. Rational curves on smooth cubic hypersurfaces. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (24):4626–4641, 2009.

[DC16] Gabriele Di Cerbo. On Fujita's spectrum conjecture, 2016. arXiv:1603.09315 [math.AG].

[Gro95] Alexander Grothendieck. Techniques de construction et théorèmes d'existence en géométrie algébrique. IV. Les schémas de Hilbert. In *Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 6*, pages Exp. No. 221, 249–276. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1995.

[Gue99] Lucio Guerra. Complexity of Chow varieties and number of morphisms on surfaces of general type. *Manuscripta Math.*, 98(1):1–8, 1999.

[HÏ2] Andreas Höring. On a conjecture of Beltrametti and Sommese. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 21(4):721–751, 2012.

[HJ16] Christopher Hacon and Chen Jiang. On Fujita invariants of subvarieties of a uniruled variety. *Alge. Geom.*, 2016. to appear.

[HRS04] Joe Harris, Mike Roth, and Jason Starr. Rational curves on hypersurfaces of low degree. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 571:73–106, 2004.

[HTT15] Brendan Hassett, Sho Tanimoto, and Yuri Tschinkel. Balanced line bundles and equivariant compactifications of homogeneous spaces. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (15):6375–6410, 2015.

[Hwa05] Jun-Muk Hwang. A bound on the number of curves of a given degree through a general point of a projective variety. *Compos. Math.*, 141(3):703–712, 2005.

[IP99] V. A. Iskovskikh and Yu. G. Prokhorov. Fano varieties. In *Algebraic geometry, V*, volume 47 of *Encyclopaedia Math. Sci.*, pages 1–247. Springer, Berlin, 1999.

[Isk79] V. A. Iskovskih. Anticanonical models of three-dimensional algebraic varieties. In *Current problems in mathematics, Vol. 12 (Russian)*, pages 59–157, 239 (loose errata). VINITI, Moscow, 1979.

[KM99] Seán Keel and James McKernan. Rational curves on quasi-projective surfaces. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 140(669):viii+153, 1999.

[KMM92] János Kollár, Yoichi Miyaoka, and Shigefumi Mori. Rational connectedness and boundedness of Fano manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.*, 36(3):765–779, 1992.

[Kol96] János Kollár. *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, volume 32 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.

[Kol15] János Kollár. The Lefschetz property for families of curves. In *Rational points, rational curves, and entire holomorphic curves on projective varieties*, volume 654 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 143–154. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015.

[KP01] B. Kim and R. Pandharipande. The connectedness of the moduli space of maps to homogeneous spaces. In *Symplectic geometry and mirror symmetry (Seoul, 2000)*, pages 187–201. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2001.

[LT16] B. Lehmann and S. Tanimoto. On the geometry of thin exceptional sets in Manin's conjecture. submitted, 2016.

[LTT16] B. Lehmann, S. Tanimoto, and Y. Tschinkel. Balanced line bundles on Fano varieties. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 2016. online publication.

[Man95] Yu. I. Manin. Problems on rational points and rational curves on algebraic varieties. In *Surveys in differential geometry, Vol. II (Cambridge, MA, 1993)*, pages 214–245. Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995.

[Mor84] Shigefumi Mori. Cone of curves, and Fano 3-folds. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Warsaw, 1983)*, pages 747–752. PWN, Warsaw, 1984.

[MZ88] M. Miyanishi and D.-Q. Zhang. Gorenstein log del Pezzo surfaces of rank one. *J. Algebra*, 118(1):63–84, 1988.

[Pey03] Emmanuel Peyre. Points de hauteur bornée, topologie adélique et mesures de Tamagawa. *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux*, 15(1):319–349, 2003.

[Pug11] Thibaut Pugin. *An Algebraic Circle Method*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2011. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Columbia University.

[Rud14] Cécile Le Rudulier. Points algébriques de hauteur bornée sur une surface, 2014. http://cecile.lerudulier.fr/Articles/surfaces.pdf.

[RY16] Eric Riedl and David Yang. Kontsevich spaces of rational curves on Fano hypersurfaces, 2016. arXiv:1409.3802 [math.AG], to appear in J. Reine Agnew. Math.

[San14] G. Sanna. *Rational curves and instantons on the Fano threefold Y_5*. PhD thesis, Scuola Internazionale di Studi Superiori Avanzati, 2013/2014.

[Tes05] D. Testa. *The Severi problem for rational curves on del Pezzo surfaces*. PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2005. https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0609355.

[Tes09] Damiano Testa. The irreducibility of the spaces of rational curves on del Pezzo surfaces. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 18(1):37–61, 2009.

[Tho98] Jesper Funch Thomsen. Irreducibility of $\overline{M}_{0,n}(G/P, \beta)$. *Internat. J. Math.*, 9(3):367–376, 1998.

[TZ14] Zhiyu Tian and Hong R. Zong. One-cycles on rationally connected varieties. *Compos. Math.*, 150(3):396–408, 2014.

Department of Mathematics, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA 02467
E-mail address: lehmannb@bc.edu

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Universitetspark 5, 2100 Copenhagen ∅, Denmark
E-mail address: sho@math.ku.dk